

k -VERY AMPLE LINE BUNDLES ON DEL PEZZO SURFACES

SANDRA DI ROCCO

ABSTRACT. A k -very ample line bundle L on a Del Pezzo Surface is numerically characterized, improving the results of Biancofiore-Ceresa in [7].

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let L be a line bundle on a smooth connected projective surface. L is said to be k -very ample for an integer $k \geq 0$, if given any 0-dimensional subscheme $(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ of S with $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = k+1$, the restriction map $H^0(L) \rightarrow H^0(L_Z)$ is surjective. Notice that L is spanned by its global section if and only if it is 0-very ample and that it is very-ample if and only if it is 1-very ample. Using the Reider-type criterion (theorem 2.3) it is possible to give an exact numerical characterization of k -very ample line bundles on Surfaces whose Picard group is fully known. k -very ample line bundles on $\mathbf{P}^2$, on the Hirzebruch surfaces $\mathbf{F}_n$ and on hyperelliptic surfaces are indeed completely characterized in [2], [5], [10].

This paper gives a numerical characterization of k -very ample line bundles on Del Pezzo surfaces, which improves the result of Biancofiore and Ceresa in [7].

Let S_r be a Del Pezzo surface of degree $9 - r$, i.e. $-K_{S_r}$ is an ample line bundle of degree $9 - r$. We prove that:

Let $L = aI - \sum_1^r b_i e_i$ be a line bundle on S_r , $L \neq -kK_{S_8}$, $L \neq -(k+1)K_{S_8}$ and $L \neq -K_{S_7}$ when $k = 1$, then L is k -very-ample if and only if:

for $r = 1$ $a \geq b_1 + k$ and $b_1 \geq k$;

for $r = 2, 3, 4$, $b_1 \geq b_2 \dots \geq b_r \geq k$ and $a \geq b_i + b_j + k$, where $i \neq j = 1 \dots r$;

for $r = 5, 6$, $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_r \geq k$, $a \geq b_i + b_j + k$, where $i \neq j = 1 \dots r$, and $2a \geq \sum_1^5 b_{i_t} + k$;

for $r = 7$, $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_7 \geq k$, $a \geq b_i + b_j + k$, where $i \neq j = 1 \dots r$, $2a \geq \sum_1^5 b_{i_t} + k$ and $3a \geq 2b_i + \sum_1^6 b_{j_t} + k$;

for $r = 8$, $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_8 \geq k$, $a \geq b_i + b_j + k$, $2a \geq \sum_1^5 b_{i_j} + k$, $3a \geq 2b_i + \sum_1^6 b_{j_t} + k$, $4a \geq \sum_1^3 2b_i + \sum_1^5 b_{j_t} + k$, $5a \geq \sum_1^6 2b_{i_t} + b_j + b_k + k$ and $6a \geq 3b_i + \sum_1^7 2b_{j_t} + k$.

We find that the set of the exceptional curves and effective divisors with self-intersection zero, $\mathcal{S}$, plays a very important rule in checking the nefness and k -very ampleness of a line bundle on S_r . We show that a line bundle L is nef if and only if it is spanned and that L is k -very ample if and only if the intersection with all the elements of $\mathcal{S}$ is greater or equal than k . In the first part of the paper we give a complete numerical description of the elements of $\mathcal{S}$ that will allow us to prove the main theorem.

In [7] for L to be k -very ample it is required that $a \geq \sum_1^r b_i$ for any r . If we consider, for example, the case $r = 3$ Corollary 4.6 proves that it is enough to require that $a \geq b_i + b_j$.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank my advisor, Andrew J. Sommese, for suggesting this problem and for his help throughout my studies. I would also like to thank Gianmario Besana for his numerous helpful comments. The author was supported by the C.N.R. of the Italian government.

2. BACKGROUND MATERIAL.

Notation.

Let S be a smooth connected projected surface defined over the complex field $\mathbf{C}$.
 $B_{P_1, \dots, P_r}(S)$ is the blowup of S at the points $P_1, \dots, P_r$;
 Let L be a line bundle on S , we will use the following notation:
 $h^i(L)$ is the dimension of $H^i(L)$;
 $|L|$ is the complete linear system associated to L ;
 K_S is the canonical bundle of S ;
 $L^2 = d(L)$ is the degree of the line bundle L ;
 $g(L)$, the sectional genus of S , is the integer defined by the equality :
 $2g(L) - 2 = L^2 + K_S L$. If $L = \mathcal{O}_S(D)$ for a divisor D we also write $g(D)$ for $g(L)$ and if D is an irreducible reduced curve on a surface S , $g(D)$ is the arithmetic genus of D .

L is said to be *numerically effective* (nef) if $LC \geq 0$ for every curve C on S and in this case L is said to be *big* if $L^2 > 0$.

L is said to be $\mathbf{Q}$ -effective if, for some positive integer n , nD is effective.

L is said to be spanned if it is spanned by its global sections, i.e.

$h^0(L \otimes \mathcal{I}_P) = h^0(L) - 1$ for every point on S .

L is said to be very ample if the map associated to $|L|$ embeds S in $P^{h^0(L)-1}$, i.e.

$h^0(L \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z) = h^0(L) - 2$ for any 0-dimensional subscheme Z of length 2 on S .

A (-1) -curve C on S , i.e. a rational curve of degree -1 , will be always called an exceptional curve.

k -very ampleness.

DEFINITION 2.1. A line bundle is said to be **k -very ample**, for an integer $k \geq 0$ if, given any 0-dimensional subscheme $(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ of S with $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = k + 1$, the restriction map $H^0(L) \rightarrow H^0(L_Z)$ is surjective.

Note that L is 0-very ample if and only if it is spanned by its global section and L is 1-very ample if and only if it is very ample.

The notion of k -very ampleness has a classical interpretation in terms of associated secant mappings. Let $S^{[k]}$ be the Hilbert scheme of 0-dimensional subschemes on S of length k ; a line bundle L is k -very ample if an associated line bundle $L^{[k]}$, on $S^{[k]}$, is very ample, see [3].

PROPOSITION 2.2. (see [4], 0.5.1) If L is a k -very ample line bundle on a surface S , then $LC \geq k$ for every effective curve C on S , with equality only if $C \cong \mathbf{P}^1$.

The following theorem will be the tool we will use to check the k -very ampleness for line bundles:

THEOREM 2.3. (see [3], Theorem 2.1) Let M be a nef line bundle on a smooth surface S , such that $M^2 \geq 4k + 5$, for $k \geq 0$. Then either $L = M + K_S$ is k -very ample or there exists an effective divisor D s.t $M - 2D$ is Q -effective, D contains some 0-dimensional subscheme where the k -very ampleness fails and:

$$MD - k - 1 \leq D^2 < MD/2 < k + 1$$

Del Pezzo surfaces.

A surface is called a Del Pezzo surface if its anticanonical bundle $-K_S$ is ample. If $K_S^2 = s$ we will say that S is a Del Pezzo surface of degree s . The degree turns out to be a very useful tool to classify Del Pezzo surfaces. Indeed we have the following classification:

PROPOSITION 2.4. (see [8]) Let S , s as before, then

- a) $1 \leq s \leq 9$;
- b) $s = 9$ if and only if $S = P^2$;
- c) if $s=8$ then either $S = P^1 \times P^1$ or $S = B_P(P^2) = \mathbf{F}_1$;
- d) if $1 \leq r \leq 7$ then $S = B_{P_1, \dots, P_{9-s}}(P^2)$ where no three points are on a line nor six points on a conic.

We will denote a Del Pezzo surface of degree s with S_r , where $r = 9 - s$.

Let $\pi : S_r \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ be the blowing up, as in d). It follows that $\text{Pic}(S_r) = \mathbf{Z}^{r+1}$ and it is generated by $\{l, e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ where l is the class of $\pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1))$, e_i the class of $\pi^{-1}(P_i)$, $l^2 = 1$, $e_1^2 = -1$, $e_1 e_j = 0$ and $e_i l = 0$.

Therefore any line bundle on S_r is of the form $al - \sum_1^r b_i e_i$ and from the definition of blow up it follows that $-K_S = 3l - \sum_1^r e_i$.

Since for S_0 and for $S_1 = P^1 \times P^1$ a complete characterization of k -very ample

line bundles has been done in [2] and [5], from now on we'll focus our attention on $S_r = B_{P_1, \dots, P_r}$ for $r = 1, \dots, 8$, as in proposition 2.4 d).

We will use the following notation, according to [8]:

Let $I_r = \{L \in \text{Pic}(S_r) \text{ such that } L^2 = -1 \text{ and } LK_S = -1\}$ be the set of exceptional curves on S_r , they are in fact irreducible effective divisors. the cardinality of I_r is finite for $1 \leq r \leq 8$ and any $\xi \in I_r$ can be expressed as the pull back of a curve in $\mathbf{P}^2$ passing through the points blown up. In the following table the number of exceptional curves in S_r of all possible types it is shown. For example if $r = 6$, i.e S_r is the cubic surface in P^3 , the table shows the 27 possible lines.

$type \backslash r$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$(0; -1)$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$(1; 1^2)$	0	1	3	6	10	15	21	28
$(2; 1^5)$	0	0	0	0	1	6	21	56
$(3; 2, 1^6)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	56
$(4; 2^3, 1^5)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56
$(5; 2^6, 1^2)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
$(6; 3, 2^7)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8

where $(a_0; a_1^{n_1}, a_2^{n_2}, \dots)$ is the class of the curve $a_0 l - \sum_1^{n_1} a_1 e_{i_1} - \sum_1^{n_2} a_2 e_{j_1} - \dots$, i.e the pull back of a curve of degree a_0 in $\mathbf{P}^2$ passing, with multiplicity a_i , through n_i points blown up.

LEMMA 2.5. (see [8], Lemme 9) If D is an effective irreducible divisor on S_r such that $DK_S = -1$ then D is an exceptional curve or $D = -K_{S_8}$.

3. NEFNESS ON DEL PEZZO SURFACES

Let $D = al - \sum_1^r b_i e_i \in \text{Pic}(S_r)$ s.t $D^2 = 0$, i.e. $a^2 - \sum_1^r b_i^2 = 0$. By the adjunction formula we have $g(D) = 0$ and $K_S D = -2$ i.e., $3a - \sum_1^r b_i = 2$. Using the inequality $(\sum_1^r b_i)^2 \leq r \sum_1^r b_i^2$ and:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_1^r b_i = 3a - 2 \\ \sum_1^r b_i^2 = a^2 \end{cases}$$

we get $a \leq 11$.

Assuming $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_r$, by numerical computations we obtain that there is a finite number of $n+1$ -tuples $(a, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r)$, solutions of the system above. In the following table we collect the possible solutions and show the divisors obtained:

a	b_1	b_2	b_3	b_4	b_5	b_6	b_7	b_8	type
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	(1;1)
2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	(2; 1 ⁴)
3	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	(3; 1 ⁵ ; 2)
4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	(4; 1 ⁷ ; 3)
	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	(4; 1 ⁴ ; 2 ³)
5	0	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	(5; 1; 2 ⁶)
	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	(5; 1 ⁴ ; 2 ³ ; 3)
6	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	(6; 1 ² ; 2 ⁴ ; 3 ²)
7	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	(7; 1; 2 ³ ; 3 ⁴)
	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	4	(7; 2 ⁶ ; 3; 4)
8	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	(8; 1; 3 ⁷)
	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	(8; 2 ³ ; 3 ⁴ ; 4)
9	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	(9; 2; 3 ⁵ ; 4 ²)
10	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	(10; 3 ⁴ ; 4 ⁴)
11	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	(11; 3; 4 ⁷)

where every row represents a solution.

Note that all the divisors obtained are sum of two exceptional divisors, except for the case $a = 1$. The decomposition, for any value of a , it is shown in the next table :

a	type
1	(1; 1)
2	(1; 1 ²) + (1; 1 ²)
3	(2; 1 ⁵) + (1; 1 ²)
4	(3; 2, 1 ⁶) + (1; 1 ²)
5	(3; 2, 1 ⁶) + (2; 1 ⁵)
6	(4; 2 ³ , 1 ⁵) + (2; 1 ⁵)
7	(5; 2 ⁶ , 1 ²) + (2; 1 ⁵) or (6; 3, 2 ⁷) + (1; 1 ²)
8	(5; 2 ⁶ , 1 ²) + (3; 2, 1 ⁶) or (6; 3, 2 ⁷) + (2; 1 ⁵)
9	(6; 3, 2 ⁷) + (3; 2, 1 ⁶)
10	(6; 3, 2 ⁷) + (4; 2 ³ , 1 ⁵)
11	(6; 3, 2 ⁷) + (5; 2 ⁶ , 1 ²)

The following proposition follows from the computations above:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let D be an effective divisor on S_r with $D^2 = 0$, then:*

- a) D is irreducible and $D = l - e_i$;
- b) D is reducible and $D = \xi_1 + \xi_2$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in I_r$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let D be an effective divisor on S_r , then it is nef and big, unless it is irreducible and $D \in I_r$ or $D = l - e_i$, or it is reducible and it has at least one exceptional curve as component.*

Proof. If S is a surface such that for every two divisors A, B , with $A^2 \geq 0$ and $B^2 \geq 0$, $AB \geq 0$, then the same property is true for a surface obtained by blowing up one point. If S is $\mathbf{P}^2$ the property is true, therefore it is true for S_r . The only effective divisors on S_r with negative self-intersection are the elements of I_r . Thus If D is an irreducible effective divisor, $D^2 > 0$ unless $D \in I_r$ or $D = l - e_i$ and $D\xi \geq 0$ for any $\xi \in I_r$, unless $D = \xi \in I_r$. If D is reducible then $D^2 > 0$ unless

$D = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ with $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in I_r$ and if $D\xi < 0$ for some $\xi \in I_r$ then ξ is one of its components. $\square$

LEMMA 3.3. (see [8], Lemme 1) Let $L = al - \sum_i^r b_i e_i \in \text{Pic}(S_r)$. If $a \geq -2$ and $d(L) \geq K_S L$ then L is effective.

THEOREM 3.4. Let $L = al - \sum_1^3 b_i e_i$ be a line bundle on S_r . L is nef if and only if $L\xi \geq 0$ for any $\xi \in I_r$ and $L(l - e_1) \geq 0$ for $r = 1$ i.e.

for $r = 1$, $a \geq b_1$ and $b_1 \geq 0$;

for $r = 2, 3, 4$, $b_1 \geq b_2 \dots \geq b_r \geq 0$ and $a \geq b_i + b_j$;

for $r = 5, 6$, $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_r \geq 0$, $a \geq b_i + b_j$, where $i \neq j = 1 \dots r$ and $2a \geq \sum_1^5 b_{i_t}$;

for $r = 7$, $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_7 \geq 0$, $a \geq b_i + b_j$, where $i \neq j = 1 \dots r$, $2a \geq \sum_1^5 b_{i_t}$ and $3a \geq 2b_i + \sum_1^6 b_{j_t}$;

for $r = 8$, $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_8 \geq 0$, $a \geq b_i + b_j$, where $i \neq j = 1 \dots r$, $2a \geq \sum_1^5 b_{i_t}$, $3a \geq 2b_i + \sum_1^6 b_{j_t}$, $4a \geq \sum_1^3 2b_{i_t} + \sum_1^5 b_{j_t}$, $5a \geq \sum_1^6 2b_{i_t} + b_{j_t} + b_k$ and

$6a \geq 3b_{i_t} + \sum_1^7 2b_{j_t}$.

Proof. If L is nef $L\xi \geq 0$ by definition.

Let L be a line bundle on S_r s.t. $L\xi \geq 0$ for any $\xi \in I_r$ and $L(l - e_1) \geq 0$ for $r = 1$, then $a \geq 0$, $L^2 \geq 0$ and $K_S L < L^2$ that implies that L is effective on S_r . Let C be an effective divisor then, by proposition 3.2, C is nef and therefore $LC \geq 0$ unless $C \in I_r$, $C = l - e_i$ or C has an exceptional curve as component. If $C \in I_r$ or has an exceptional curve as component $LC \geq 0$ by hypothesis. If $C = l - e_i$ and $LC < 0$ then $r \geq 2$ and $a < b_i$; it follows that $L\xi < 0$ for $\xi = l - e_i - e_j$ that is impossible by hypothesis. $\square$

4. k -VERY AMPLENESS ON DEL PEZZO SURFACES.

Note that if $L = al - \sum_1^r b_i e_i$ is a k -very ample line bundle on S_r then $LC \geq k$ for any irreducible effective divisor C ; in particular $Ll \geq k$, $Le_i \geq k$ for $i = 1 \dots r$ and $L\xi \geq k$ for any $\xi \in I_r$.

Let us assume $L\xi \geq k$ for any $\xi \in I_r$ and $l(l - e_i) \geq k$; that implies L nef and big $b_i \geq k$ for $i = 1 \dots r$ and $a \geq 3k$, for $r \geq 2$. Therefore we can write $L = kL' + H$ where L' is an effective divisor with all coefficients greater or equal than k and H is an effective divisor with all coefficients strictly less than k .

LEMMA 4.1. Let $M = L - K_S$; if $(M - D)D \leq k + 1$ for some effective divisor D on S , then

a) $r \geq 2$ and either $D^2 > 0$ or $L\xi < k$ for some $\xi \in I_r$;

b) $r = 1$ and either $D^2 > 0$ or $Le_1 < k$ or $L(l - e_1) < k$.

Proof. Assume $D^2 < 0$, then $D = \xi \in I_r$, $MD \leq k$ and $-K_S D \geq 1$, therefore $LD < k$. Assume now $D^2 = 0$; if D is irreducible it is of the form $l - e_i$ with $-K_S D = 2$, that implies $LD < k$. For $r \geq 2$, taking any exceptional curve of the form $\xi = l - e_i - e_j$, we obtain $L\xi < k$. If D is reducible then $r \geq 2$ and $D = \xi_1 + \xi_2$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in I_r$ which gives $L\xi_i < k$, for $i=1,2$. $\square$

LEMMA 4.2. Let $L = kL' + H$ be a line bundle on S_r such that $L\xi \geq k$ for any exceptional curve $\xi \in I_r$, and $L(l - e_1) \geq k$ for $r = 1$, and $M = L - K_{S_r}$, then

either $(L')^2 > 0$ or $r = 8$, $L = k\xi + H$ or $L = k(\xi_i + \xi_j) + H$ with ξ, ξ_i, ξ_j of the type $(5; 2^6, 1^2)$ or $(6; 3, 2^7)$ and there is no effective divisor D on S_8 such that

$$MD - k - 1 \leq D^2 < MD/2 < k + 1$$

Proof. Assume L' irreducible and $(L')^2 \leq 0$. If $(L')^2 = 0$ then $L' = l - e_i$ and therefore $L(l - e_i - e_j) = H(l - e_i - e_j) < k$; since the coefficients of H are strictly less than k , that is impossible by our setting. If $(L')^2 < 0$ then $L = k\xi + H$ where $\xi \in I_r$ and for $r \leq 7$ we always have $\xi(l - e_1 - e_2) = 0$ for any $\xi \in I_r$ and therefore $L(l - e_1 - e_2) = H(l - e_1 - e_2) < k$, impossible as before. Assume now $r = 8$ and $L = k\xi + H$ with ξ of the type $(5; 2^6, 1^2)$ or $(6; 3, 2^7)$, then $H^2 > 0$ and $H\xi \leq 2k$ otherwise $L\xi < k$. If D were an effective divisor on S_8 s.t. $MD - k - 1 \leq D^2 < MD/2 < k + 1$ then $D^2 > 0$, by lemma 4.1. If $D^2 = 1$ then, since $(k\xi + H)^2 \geq -k^2 + 1 + 4k^2 = 3k^2 + 1$ and $L^2 D^2 \leq (LD)^2$, $LD > k$ and $LD - K_S D - k - 1 > 1 = D^2$. If $D^2 \geq 2$ then $LD > 2k$, that implies $k + 1 > D^2 > 2k + 2 - k - 1$. The case L irreducible, i.e. $L = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ with ξ of the type $(5; 2^6, 1^2)$ or $(6; 3, 2^7)$ is proved as before. $\square$

LEMMA 4.3. $M^2 \geq 4k + 5$ for any k unless $L = -kK_{S_8}$ or $k = 1$ and $L = -K_{S_7}$.

Proof. by Lemma 4.2 $(L')^2 \geq 1$ and $L'H \geq 0$; note that we can also assume $-K_S L \geq 2$ unless $r=8$ and $L = -K_{S_8}$ in which case $M^2 \geq 4k + 5$ for any k , unless $H \equiv 0$ and therefore $L = -kK_{S_8}$. A numerical checking also shows that $-(k+1)K_{S_7}^2 \leq 4k + 4$ if and only if $k=1$. In all the remaining cases we have $M^2 \geq k^2 + 6k + 2 \geq 4k + 5$. $\square$

Note that if L is a k -very-ample line bundle on a surface then $LE \geq k + 2$ for any elliptic curve E on S , therefore $-kK_{S_8}$, $-(k+1)K_{S_8}$ cannot be k -very ample and $-K_{S_7}$ cannot be very ample.

THEOREM 4.4. Let L be a line bundle on S_r such that $L\xi \geq k$ for any exceptional curve $\xi \in I_r$, and $L(l - e_1) \geq k$ for $r = 1$. L is k -very-ample unless $L = -kK_{S_8}$, $L = -(k+1)K_{S_8}$ or $k = 1$ and $L = -K_{S_7}$.

Proof. $M^2 \geq 4k + 5$ by Lemma 4.3 and L is nef and big by theorem 3.4, then L is k -very ample unless there exist an effective divisor D on S such that

$$MD - k - 1 \leq D^2 < MD/2 < k + 1.$$

Assume such D exists. $(L')^2 \geq 1$ by Lemma 4.2 and $D^2 \geq 1$ by Lemma 4.1. Therefore $L'D \geq 1$ and $-K_S L' \geq 2$ unless $r=8$, $L' = -K_{S_8}$ and H is not 0, in which case we would get $MD \geq 2k + 2$ that is impossible. If $L'D = 1$ then by the Hodge index theorem we should have $D^2 = (L')^2 = 1$ and $-K_S D \leq 2$. If $-K_S D = 1$ then $D = K_{S_8}$ that is impossible since $-L'K_S \geq 2$. If $-K_S D = 2$ we have $HD \leq 0$, i.e. $H^2 \leq 0$ and $L^2 \geq 3k^2 - 1$, therefore $LD > 2k$ and $2k + 2 - k - 1 \leq 1$. If $L'D \geq 2$ then $MD \geq 2k + 2$, that is impossible. $\square$

COROLLARY 4.5. Let L be a line bundle on S_r , s.t $L \neq -kK_{S_8}$, $L \neq -(k+1)K_{S_8}$ and $L \neq -K_{S_7}$ when $k = 1$. L is k -very ample if and only if $L\xi \geq k$, for any $\xi \in I_r$ and $L(l - e_1) \geq k$ for $r=1$.

Using the table of exceptional curves we easily obtain the following numerical characterization:

COROLLARY 4.6. *Let $L = al - \sum_1^r b_i e_i$ be a line bundle on $S_r, L \neq -kK_{S_8}, L \neq -(k+1)K_{S_8}$ and $L \neq -K_{S_7}$ when $k = 1$ then L is k -very-ample if and only if:*
for $r = 1$, $a \geq b_1 + k$ and $b_1 \geq k$;
for $r = 2, 3, 4$, $b_1 \geq b_2 \dots \geq b_r \geq k$ and $a \geq b_i + b_j + k$, where $i \neq j = 1 \dots r$;
for $r = 5, 6$, $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_r \geq k$, $a \geq b_i + b_j + k$, where $i \neq j = 1 \dots r$, and $2a \geq \sum_1^5 b_{i_t} + k$;
for $r = 7$, $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_7 \geq k$, $a \geq b_i + b_j + k$, where $i \neq j = 1 \dots r$, $2a \geq \sum_1^5 b_{i_t} + k$ and $3a \geq 2b_i + \sum_1^6 b_{j_t} + k$;
for $r = 8$, $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_8 \geq k$, $a \geq b_i + b_j + k$, $2a \geq \sum_1^5 b_{i_j} + k$, $3a \geq 2b_i + \sum_1^6 b_{j_t} + k$, $4a \geq \sum_1^3 2b_i + \sum_1^5 b_{j_t} + k$, $5a \geq \sum_1^6 2b_{i_t} + b_j + b_k + k$ and $6a \geq 3b_i + \sum_1^7 2b_{j_t} + k$.

Comparing Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 4.6 we can conclude that:

THEOREM 4.7. *A line bundle on a Del Pezzo surface is nef if and only if it is spanned by its global sections.*

5. OBSERVATIONS

The Hirzebruch surface $\mathbf{F}_1$. For $S_1 = \mathbf{F}_1$ a different characterization is often used, i.e. a line bundle L is written as $L = a_0 E_0 + bf$, where E_0 and f are equal respectively to the minimal section and the fiber of the morphism $S_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ and $K_S = -2E_0 - 3f$. A simple checking shows that $E_0 = e_1$ and $f = l - e_1$. Therefore If $L \in \text{Pic}(\mathbf{F}_1)$ is written as $a_0 E_0 + bf$ we can express it as $L = bl - (b - a_0)e_1$. From Corollary 2.5 it follows that L is k -very ample if and only if $a_0 \geq k$ and $b \geq a_0 + k$, same characterization as in [5].

The adjoint bundle. Let L be a k -very ample line bundle on S_r . Applying Corollary 4.6 to the adjoint bundle $K_S + L = (a - 3) - \sum_1^r (b_i - 1)e_i$ we can easily see that it is always $(k - 1)$ -very ample if $r \geq 2$.

If $r = 1$ it is $(k - 1)$ -very ample if $a \geq b_1 + k + 1$.

The degree. If L is k -very ample, from [5, theorem 3.1] $kK_S + L$ is nef and big unless $L = -kK_S$, with $K_S^2 \geq 2$ for $k \geq 2$ and with $K_S^2 \geq 3$ for $k = 1$.

From [1, Corollary 3.31] it follows that $(kK_S + L)L \geq k + 4$ for $k \geq 2$ i.e.

$$d(L) \geq k^2 + 3k + 2$$

if $L \neq -kK_S$ and $k \geq 2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] E.Ballico and A.J.Sommese. *Projective surfaces with k -very ample line bundles of degree $\leq 4k+4$* . To appear on Nagoya Math. Journal.
- [2] M.Beltrametti, P. Francia and A.J.Sommese *On Reider's method and higher order embedding*. Duke Math. J. **58**, 425-439(1989).
- [3] M.Beltrametti and A.J.Sommese. *Zero Cycles and k -order Embeddings of Smooth Projective Surfaces*. Proc. on Projective Surfaces and their classification, Cortona, Symposia Mathematica, INDAM, Academic Press 1988.
- [4] M.Beltrametti and A.J.Sommese. *On k -spannedness for projective surfaces*. Proc. Algebraic Geometry, L'Aquila (1988), Springer Lecture Notes **1417** (1990), 24-51.
- [5] M.Beltrametti and A.J.Sommese. *On the preservation of k -very ampleness under adjunction*. Mathematische Zeitschrift, **212**, 257-283 (1993).

- [6] M. Beltrametti and A.J. Sommese *The Adjunction Theory of Complex Projective Varieties*. To appear De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 16.
- [7] A. Biancofiore and G. Ceresa. *Remarks on k -spannedness for algebraic surfaces*. Rend.Sem.Mat Univers.Politecn. Torino **46**(1988),343-352.
- [8] M.Demazure *Surfaces de del Pezzo, I, II, III, IV, V*. Singularités des surfaces, Lect. Notes in Math **777**.1980 ,23-24.
- [9] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry* n. 52 in G.T.M.Springer Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1977.
- [10] Y. I. Manin. *Cubic Forms*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.
- [11] M. Mella and M.Palleschi. *The k -very ampleness on an Elliptic Quasi Bundle* Abh.Math.Sem.Univ.Hamburg **63**(1993), 215-226.

SANDRA DI ROCCO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, MAIL DISTRIBUTION CENTER, NOTRE DAME, INDIANA 46556-5683

E-mail address: `sdirocco@artin.helios.nd.edu`